# Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report

## Adult Prisons & Jails

- **Interim**: 
- **Final**: ☒

**Date of Report**: October 19, 2020

## Auditor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Robert Manville</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th><a href="mailto:robertmanville9@gmail.com">robertmanville9@gmail.com</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>DX Consultants LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>168 Dogwood Drive</td>
<td>City, State, Zip:</td>
<td>Milledgeville, Ga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>912-286-0004</td>
<td>Date of Facility Visit:</td>
<td>October 3 through October 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Agency Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virginia Department of Corrections (VADOC)</th>
<th>Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Address: 6900 Atmore Drive</td>
<td>State of Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address: P.O. Box 26963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Agency Is:</td>
<td>Military ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private for Profit ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private not for Profit ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☒ State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Federal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agency Website with PREA Information**: [https://vadoc.virginia.gov (Search PREA)](https://vadoc.virginia.gov)

## Agency Chief Executive Officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Harold Clarke</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th><a href="mailto:Harold.Clarke@vadoc.virginia.gov">Harold.Clarke@vadoc.virginia.gov</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>804-887-8081</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rose Durbin</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th><a href="mailto:Rose.durbin@vadoc.virginia.gov">Rose.durbin@vadoc.virginia.gov</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>217-558-2200 ext. 6509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PREA Coordinator Reports to**: Jeremiah Fitz, Corrections Operations Administrator

**Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator**: 3 Regional PREA/ADA Analysts, 40 PREA Compliance Managers report to the Analysts
Facility Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Facility:</th>
<th>State Farm Work Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Address:</td>
<td>3500 Woods Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip:</td>
<td>State Farm VA 23160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address (if different from above):</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip:</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Facility Is:  
☐ Military  ☐ Private for Profit  ☐ Private not for Profit  
☐ Municipal  ☐ County  ☒ State  ☐ Federal

Facility Type:  
☒ Prison  ☐ Jail

Facility Website with PREA Information  
www.vadoc.virginia.gov (Search PREA)

Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years?  
☒ Yes  ☐ No

If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):

☒ ACA  ☐ NCCHC  ☐ CALEA  ☐ Other (please name or describe:)

☐ N/A

If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:
Security Assessment annually  
Internal Security Assessment quarterly

Warden’s designee/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Donald Wilmouth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Donald.Wilmouth@vadoc.virginia.gov">Donald.Wilmouth@vadoc.virginia.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>(804) 556-7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Matthew Forbes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:matthew.forbes@vadoc.virginia.gov">matthew.forbes@vadoc.virginia.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>(804) 556-7067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility Health Service Administrator  
☐ N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Margarita Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:margarita.minor@vadoc.virginia.gov">margarita.minor@vadoc.virginia.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>(804) 556-7060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Facility Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Facility Capacity:</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Population of Facility:</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</td>
<td>☒ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which population(s) does the facility hold?</td>
<td>☒ Females, ☐ Males, ☐ Both Females and Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range of population:</td>
<td>23-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay or time under supervision:</td>
<td>24 to 120 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months:</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the facility hold youthful inmates?</td>
<td>☒ Yes, ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during the past 12 months:</td>
<td>(N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?</td>
<td>☒ Yes, ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds inmates:</td>
<td>☒ Federal Bureau of Prisons, ☐ U.S. Marshals Service, ☐ U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, ☐ Bureau of Indian Affairs, ☐ U.S. Military branch, ☒ State or Territorial correctional agency, ☐ County correctional or detention agency, ☐ Judicial district correctional or detention facility, ☐ City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail), ☐ Private corrections or detention provider, ☐ Other - please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text, ☐ N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click or tap here to enter text.
| Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates: | 67 |
| Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates: | 17 |
| Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates: | 10 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 260 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 10 |

### Physical Plant

#### Number of buildings:

Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.

Number of buildings: 7

#### Number of inmate housing units:

Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.

Number of inmate housing units: 4

#### Number of single cell housing units:

0

#### Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:

0

#### Number of open bay/dorm housing units:

4

#### Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):

0

In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)

[ ] Yes  [ ] No  [x] N/A
### Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?
- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

### Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?
- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

### Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Provided</th>
<th>On-site</th>
<th>Local hospital/clinic</th>
<th>Rape Crisis Center</th>
<th>Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical services</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual assault</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Investigations

#### Criminal Investigations

- Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment: 19
- When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by:
  - ☐ Facility investigators
  - ☒ Agency investigators
  - ☐ An external investigative entity

  Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)
  - ☐ Local police department
  - ☐ Local sheriff's department
  - ☐ State police
  - ☐ A U.S. Department of Justice component
  - ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
  - ☒ N/A

#### Administrative Investigations

- Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment: 3
- When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by:
  - ☒ Facility investigators
  - ☐ Agency investigators
  - ☐ An external investigative entity

  Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)
  - ☐ Local police department
  - ☐ Local sheriff's department
  - ☐ State police
Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor’s description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, and observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor’s process for the site review.

An onsite audit was conducted for State Farm Work Center for compliance with the PREA standards for adult prisons from September 30 through October 2, 2020 by Robert Manville, a Certified PREA audits. Virginia Department of Corrections contracted with DX Consultants to conduct this PREA audit. The facility posted notices of the audit on August 3, 2020. Prior to the on-site visit, the Regional PREA analyst, PREA compliance manager and facility staff forwarded agency and institution policies and supporting documentation, including the completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire, administrative reports, contracts, incident reports, memorandums, brochures, staff rosters, staffing plans, training information, and other reference materials for examination. Virginia Department of Corrections (VADO C) State Farm Work Center (SFWC) policies and directives were provided for standards required for compliance with mandates such as Agency PREA Coordinator and Facility PREA compliance manager. Updates of the Pre audit questionnaire, investigations and updated policies and directives were also discussed prior to the beginning of the audit.

This is the third PREA audit for this facility. The facility has been renamed several times and its mission has evolved over the last several years. It was originally the support labor system for the operating farm. In October 2019 the facility was converted to a female facility. SFWC’s primary program involves offender work assignments. Supervision of work assignments is provided by Corrections Officers or other Corrections Personnel who are assigned to the various work locations. Work hours approximate actual work days and certain work assignments work 7 days a week. The primary work force supports an extensive Agribusiness operation and the Agency Training Academy.

On the morning of arrival at the facility an in briefing was held assistant warden for State Farm Work Center, the Regional PREA Analyst, and PREA Compliance Manager.

A total of twelve (12) random correctional staff members were interviewed, to include employees from all shifts. Lieutenants from all shifts were included in the interview process as part of the specialized staff. All were aware of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy and their responsibilities to protect inmates from sexual abuse/sexual harassment and could explain their new employee and annual PREA training and their duties as first responders as part of a
coordinated response. Specialized staff members were also interviewed. This includes VADOC Commissioner, VADOC PREA Coordinator, facility Warden’s designee’s designee, facility PREA Compliance Manager (PCM), Investigator, and Human Resource Specialist, Intake staff, Medical Administrator, Training Officer, Retaliation Monitor, two Case Managers, Retaliation Monitor, Staff Victim Advocates and staff at Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center which is the hospital the facility would use for a forensic exam, and confirmed that this hospital has SAFE/SANE nurses available.

All interviewed staff demonstrated an understanding of the PREA and their responsibilities under this program, relative to their position or roles with the organization and employment status. The facility has MOU with Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Action Alliance or Action Alliance for PREA reporting and emotional support for sexual abuse or assault victims.

A total of 24 inmates were selected to be interviewed. The interviewed inmates were of various ages, nationalities and ethnic backgrounds. Inmate random interviewed inmates included inmates housed in every dormitory in each facility. Two inmates that made allegations of prior victimization and one inmate that sexual orientation was lesbian were interviewed. There were no other targeted inmate housed at the facility during the onsite audit. Inmates with history of victimization were seen by the mental health staff on the same day they arrived.

Based on interviews with offenders it was determined that the offender population had been appropriately educated. The offender were knowledgeable regarding the agencies sexual abuse and sexual harassment response policies. The offenders were able to provide multiple ways of reporting allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All inmate indicated they felt safe at this facility. Most of the inmate population are involved in work programs on the State Farm or the Agency Training Academy.

Investigations

During the audit period, there was one reported allegation of sexual abuse. This case was investigated. Retaliation monitoring was provided for this inmate. Inmate was notified of the outcome of the investigation in a timely manner by the facility Warden’s designee. The investigations was determined to be founded. Staff was terminated. The allegation did not rise to the level of criminal charges. The offenders was notified of the investigation outcome.

Facility Characteristic

The auditor’s description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

State Farm Work Center (SFWC) is located off of Route 6 in Goochland County, SFWC is a low security level facility which houses non-violent, adult, female felons. In October 2019 the facility converted from male to female population. Prior to changing the population from male to female offenders the agency administrative staff reviewed the facility for compliance with
PREA standards. Bathroom areas were modified to add more privacy. Beds were rearranged and reduced. Camera were reviewed and updated where necessary. The Agency PREA coordinator reviewed and approved all modification to ensure compliance with PREA standards.

The Work Center is comprised of three buildings to include an administration area, kitchen and dining hall, program space, medical office, academic classroom and library, and offender dormitories. Upon entering the facility visitors are required to submit appropriate credentials and pass through a metal detector. There is a corridor that includes the administrative offices, multipurpose rooms, the food services area and education areas. The second building contains two dormitories in each building. The dormitories include bathroom, showers, and dressing areas that are petitioned off to provide offenders areas to use the restroom, shower and dress without being viewed by staff.

Intake offenders are processed in a small area if the administrative building. There are private areas in this building to allow inmates to be searched and provide clothing before entering the sleeping area. During this time offenders are given a PREA orientation and case managers conduct an initial screening. From the intake areas offenders meet with medical and mental health staff for further screening.

The Food Service Department has a dining room with a food service preparation area attached. All areas of food service are under constant surveillance with cameras, mirrors or staff supervision. There are zero-tolerance posters in all food service areas.

The Medical area houses a private examination room and private office.

The Mental Health is located next to medical area and provides private offices for mental health staff.

There is a multipurpose room located in this same general area for group meetings and visitation.

Areas that employee offenders such as the Dairy Farm Complex. Mechanic Shop, Agency Training Academy including food service area and various Shops were toured. Several modification were made to several of these areas in changing the working population to female offenders in order to comply with PREA standards. Each areas toured had postings regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency’s zero-tolerance policy toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed.

During the tours, it was noted that there was sufficient staffing to ensure a safe environment for inmates and staff. Signs were posted in English and Spanish that indicated employees of the opposite gender were present in the housing units. Inmates can shower, dress and use the toilet without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender. Postings, regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency’s zero-tolerance policy toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed in all housing units, meeting areas and
throughout the facility. Audit notice postings with the PREA auditor’s contact information were in the same areas. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from inmate or staff.

SFWC is accredited by the American Correctional Association

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 4

List of Standards Exceeded:
Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA; Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies; Standard 115.34: Specialized training Investigations: Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 41

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met:
List of Standards Not Met:

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and
oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No

115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 135.1 (Standards of Conduct)
Memo designating MTC PREA Coordinator
SFWC Non-Security Org. Chart
VADOC organization chart
Memo designating SFWC PREA Compliance Manager
SFWC organization chart

The Virginia Department of Corrections provides supervision of the prisons. The agency has several policies that mandate the staff, visitors, volunteers, contractor, offenders and all other persons that have any access to offenders, must adhere to the zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy. Virginia Department of Corrections (VADOC) Operating Procedures 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act), and 135.2 (Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders) are the governing policies regarding this standard. Additionally, the agency’s organizational chart supports accomplishing and
exceeding the baseline requirements of the dedicated PREA compliance personnel part of the standard. The PREA Compliance Coordinator (PCC) has sufficient time and authority, resources and support, to ensure SFWC policies regarding zero tolerance to sexual abuse and harassment. Based on staff and offender interviews, coupled with printed information, didactic, and other training methods, SFWC maintains a consistent focus on preventing sexual abuse and harassment. Each region of the VADOC has a PREA Analyst, which exceeds the above standard, with sufficient time, resources, and access to a headquarters level PCC, who reports directly to a top-level Corrections Operations Administrator. Maintaining this technical level of resource at the regional level enhances PREA compliance capabilities for facility PREA Compliance Managers (PCM). Headquarters and regional level PREA staff have played an integral role in directing the management of serious PREA related allegations during this audit period. VADOC policy establishes a zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency's zero tolerance against sexual abuse is clearly established. The above referenced policy also outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and harassment. Based on input and direction from the agency and regional PREA personnel, the facility's PCM has been provided sufficient time, resources, experience, support, and authority to accomplished PREA objectives. There are services are available for offenders who do not speak or read English. All interviews with staff, volunteers, contractors and offenders confirmed that each was aware of the zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The commitment to the enforcement and implementation of the PREA exceeds the required mandates of this standard. The policy outlines procedures and expectations related to VADOC approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. It is developed in compliance with the PREA standards for adult prisons and jails and includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment for staff and inmates.

The policy also included sanctions for staff and inmates found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. Staff members who are found to have perpetrated sexual abuse or sexual harassment are disciplined in accordance to Employee Discipline policy and Facility Rule of Conduct and subject to employment termination. Employees are subject to criminal prosecution.

Inmates who are found to have perpetrated sexual abuse or sexual harassment are disciplined in accordance with sanctions outlined in the facility’s inmate handbook.

Inmates are informed orally about the zero-tolerance policy and the PREA program when they first arrive at the facility by a case manager that reports to the intake area when notified that an offender has arrived at the facility. While waiting for placement to a dormitory offender watch a PREA video. Case manager complete a formal orientation within the first two weeks of the resident arrival at the facility. The orientation is offered in English and in Spanish. Additional program information is contained in the A&O Handbook, and postings distributed throughout the facility (observed during the tour). All written documents are available in English and Spanish. Additional interpretive services are available for inmates who do not speak or read English. Both institution staff and inmates are provided with a wealth of opportunities to become aware of PREA policies and procedures. All employees receive initial training and annual training, as well as updates throughout the year. The institution exceeds the standards
with all the programs they have implemented to ensure the inmates and staff understand its position on zero-tolerance and how to prevent and intervene in sexual abuse, sexual assaults or sexual harassment. Exceeding compliance was determined by review of policies, memorandums, orientation power point presentations, posters, A&O handbook and interviews with staff, contractors, volunteers and inmates.

**Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Contracting Agencies
Memo re: Contracts for the confinement of inmates.
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 260.1 (Procurement of Goods and Services)

The facility meets the mandates of this standard. SFWC does not contract with external entities for the confinement of offenders. Compliance was determined by review of Memo and interviews with VADOC PREA coordinator and SFWC PREA compliance manager and Warden’s designee.

**Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.13 (a)**

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind-spots” or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (b)

In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.13 (c)

In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (d)

Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 401.1 (Development and Maintenance of Post Orders)
Operating Procedure 401.2 (Security Staffing)
Operating Procedure 401.3 (Administrative Duty Coverage)
Meeting Minutes re: Video and Monitoring Technology Updates
Copies of officers’ log books showing unannounced rounds by supervisors on all shifts
State Farm Work Center annual staffing plan

The facility has a Staffing Report that is developed for each pay period. The Warden’s designee meets weekly with his executive staff, including to address staffing issues as they relate to the PREA.

The institution has been provided with all necessary resources to support the programs and procedures to ensure compliance with the PREA standards. The audit included an examination of all video monitoring systems; staff interviews; and rosters. Supervisory and Administrative staff members routinely make unannounced rounds covering all shifts and these rounds are documented. PREA rounds are documented in each unit. Warden’s designee

There have been no judicial findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies or findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies relevant to this standard. All essential posts are filled each shift and no essential posts are kept open for salary savings. When programs are offered, staffing is increased to provide additional supervision. Staff members are prohibited from alerting other employees regarding unannounced rounds. Interviews with inmates and housing unit officers also confirmed that random, unannounced rounds are conducted Sergeants/ Lieutenants daily, including nights and weekends. An examination of PREA Annual Assessment Meeting Minutes, policy and supporting documentation and all interviews confirms compliance with this standard. Compliance was also determined by staff interviews conducted during the tour of all areas of the facility, including human resource manager and correctional staff; reviews of documented staffing rosters, daily supervisory checks and the examination of the video monitoring system. A staffing plan review was conducted by SFWC in January 2020. The staffing plan included:

- Generally accepted detention and correctional practices;
- Judicial findings of inadequacy;
- Findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies;
- Findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies;
- All components of the facility’s physical plant;
- The composition of the inmate population;
- The number and placement of supervisory staff;
- Institution programs occurring on a particular shift;
- Applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards;
- The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and
- Other relevant factors

A camera and video utilization meeting is conducted yearly. A comprehensive tour of the facility was conducted during the audit that included looking for blind spots, reviewing cameras coverage and available staff in areas that inmates are assigned. Subsequently, tours of each area of the facility was also reviewed while going throughout the facility to meet with staff and to interview inmates. Based on policies, supporting documents including staff rosters, staffing plan, duty officer reports, daily Lieutenant’s rounds, and interviews with Warden’s designee, Lieutenants and staff it was determined that the facility meets this standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA
Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 425.4 (Management of Bed and Cell Assignments)

The facility does not house youthful offenders.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15 (a)

1. Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
   ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. 115.15 (b)

3. Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.
   a. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

4. Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates’ access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
5. **115.15 (c)**

6. Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes ☐ No

7. Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**115.15 (d)**

- Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.15 (e)**

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate’s genital status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If an inmate’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.15 (f)**

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 350.2 (Training and Development)
Operating Procedure 401.1 (Development and Maintenance of Post Orders)
Operating Procedure 401.2 (Security Staffing)
Operating Procedure 445.1 (Employee, Visitor, and Offender Searches)
Operating Procedure 720.2 (Medical Screenings, Classification, and Levels of Care)
Operating Procedure 801.1 (Facility Physical Plant and Sanitation)
Housing Unit Log
Cross Gender Search Training

In the past 12 months, there have not been any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of offenders that did not involve exigent circumstances or were performed by non-medical staff. Female offenders are not housed at State Farm Work Center.

Operating Procedure 401.2 states officers of the opposite gender should be allowed to supervise offender housing areas, with appropriate physical modifications made to toilet and shower areas to provide a reasonable degree of offender privacy. Facility procedures and practices shall enable offenders to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an offender housing unit. These announcements shall be documented in the log book.

Operating Procedure 445.1 states a transgender or intersex offender shall not be searched or physically examined for the sole purpose of determining the offender’s genital status. If the offender’s genital status is unknown, it may be determined through conversation with the offender, a review of the medical record, or if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner.

Operating Procedure 350.2 states all new corrections officers (and any other offender care workers at Detention/Diversion Centers) receive at least 120 hours of training (in addition to orientation) during their first year of employment. Training includes cross gender frisk searches and searches of...
transgender and intersex offenders are conducted in a professional and respectful manner and in a least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs.

The showers are partitioned. All staff members are trained on gender specific guidelines. This training includes that all offenders can shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without staff of the opposite gender viewing their buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks.

The auditor observed that each unit has individual stalls for privacy in utilizing the toilets. The facility has implemented a policy that all opposite gender staff working the units will announce themselves prior to walking the range to allow inmates the opportunity to prepare themselves from a privacy perspective. Correctional staff assigned to the dormitory area will document announcement were made and will also make announcement with person of the other gender enter the units. Inmates interviewed acknowledged they were allowed to shower, dress and use the toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender. Staff and inmates interviewed indicated employees of the opposite gender announce their presence before entering a housing unit. Staff members were aware of the policy prohibiting the search of a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. During the past 12 months, there were no exigent circumstances that required cross-gender viewing of an inmate by a staff member.

Showers have partitions that provides for inmate privacy while showering. Some toilet areas have partitions with door to allow inmates to use the restroom without being viewed by staff. Other bathroom areas have routine doors with a sink. Based on the review of policies, training and notices regarding the presence of female staff in the units, observation of the showering/dressing areas, review of the corrective action and interviews with staff and inmates, it has been determined that SFWC meets the expectation of this standard.

**Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect,
and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if “other,” please explain in overall determination notes)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.16 (c)
• Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate’s allegations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Propio Language Contract
Optimal (Stratus) Interpreters
Purple Language Contracts
Inmate Handbook (English and Spanish)
Inmate Handbook in Braille
Memo & Psychology A&O Lesson Plan
Language Assistance Packet
Memo Regarding Inmates with Disabilities
Photographs of PREA Poster
Staff Training

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) mandates that the facility shall not discriminate against offenders with known disabilities and shall provide reasonable accommodations to ensure access to programs, activities, and services in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the provisions established in this Directive. Procedure also establishes local facility to respond to needs of inmates with Disabilities or Limited English Proficiency: Upon identification of an inmate with a disability which prevents them from reading or understanding inmate PREA educational materials, staff conducting initial intake screenings coordinate with other staff as needed to obtain appropriate accommodations addressing the inmate's disability (i.e. referral to medical as appropriate).
Through policy and practice, the facility staff ensures that inmates with all disabilities listed in 115.16a have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the facilities efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The institution has access to additional languages written material if required. Staff also may read information to inmates when necessary. The facility does not rely on inmate interpreters, inmate readers or other types of inmate assistants in the performance of first responder duties or during the investigation of an inmate’s sexual abuse/sexual harassment allegations. The facility has a living unit that is dedicated to offenders that are assigned for major medical care and convalescent care. Interviews with first responders, medical, mental health and investigative staff confirmed their awareness of the prohibition of using inmate interpreters for PREA compliance functions. Interviews with staff and inmates and an examination of policy/supporting documentation also confirm compliance with this standard.

Compliance of this standard was confirmed by review of institutions policies contracting services for language interpretation services and interviews with staff.

**Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (b)
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (h)
Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 102.3 (Background Investigation Program)
Operating Procedure 102.2 (Recruitment, Selection, and Appointment)
Operating Procedure 260.1 (Procurement of Goods and Services)
Operating Procedure 040.1 (Litigation)
Operating Procedure 057.1 (Personnel Records)
Operating Procedure 135.1 (Standards of Conduct)
Operating Procedure 145.2 (Employee Performance Management)

VADOC requires the facility not to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates, and does not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates as listed in this standard to include the following provisions as stated in the PREA standards:
1. Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; to include persons who are mentally ill or disabled or retarded or chronically ill or handicapped, or institution providing skilled nursing or intermediate or long-term care or custodial or immaterial care.
2. Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
3. Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in subsection.

Employees have a duty to disclose such misconduct. Material omissions regarding this type of
misconduct would be grounds for termination. The submission of false information by any applicant is grounds for not hiring the applicant. The human resource manager confirmed that the agency attempts to contact prior employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations which occurred during a pending investigation of sexual abuse. Interviews with staff and a review of documentation (PREA Screening Form) confirm compliance with this standard. Four new staff member, five promoted staff and five staff that have over five years tenure personnel files were reviewed and found to have completed background checks prior to employment, promotion or after five years of service. The Human Resource Officer was interviewed and confirmed that the agency contacts prior employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations which occurred during a pending investigation of sexual abuse. The Human Resource Officer also confirmed the agency provides information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee, upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. The policy provides that the facility notifies appropriate licensing/certifying agencies when professional staff members are terminated for substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

A review of personnel and volunteer files and relevant supporting documentation, including interview with personnel manager and volunteer coordinator confirm compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.18 (a)**

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  - ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**115.18 (b)**

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  - ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
☐  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 801.1 (Facility Physical Plant and Sanitation)
Physical Plant Diagrams Indicating Camera Placement
Memo for the File

Operating Procedure 801.1 (Facility Physical Plant and Sanitation) requires that when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning and substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The PREA Compliance Manager and Warden’s designee indicated there were expansions during the past year. The Management Team indicated when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the plan will consider how the technology may enhance the facility’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The facility has made additional enhancement to the use of mirrors in blind areas identified during past PREA audits.

As noted in interviews with PREA Regional Analyst and in reviewing the PREA annual report for 2019 VADOC management made positive changes in dormitory layout, bathrooms, and showers for changing the population from a male to a female facility. Utilized the PREA coordinator and her teams expertise changes were also made in working areas to provide privacy of female offenders. Based on the review of changes made and the agency’s coordinated response to meet the requirements of PREA the facility exceeded the expectations of this standard.

---

**RESPONSIVE PLANNING**

**Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)
- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.21 (c)

- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (e)
• As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes □ No

• As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes □ No

115.21 (f)

• If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

115.21 (g)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)

• If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Ballard Health (Mountain State) Renewal 2019-2020
Evidence protocol
Virginia Forensic Nurse Examiner Programs
VADOC conducts administrative investigations of sexual abuse and harassment allegations. If a sexual abuse and harassment allegation is referred for criminal investigation, it is handled by the VADOC Special Investigations Unit (SIU). VADOC establishes the requirements for first responders, investigators and medical staff to secure the crime scene including the areas of the offense, the victim, and alleged abuser for the preservation of usable evidence. The policy mandates that persons responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecution.

Investigators are trained and follow appropriate curriculum for a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecution. VCDOC policy and procedure is based on Department of Justice “National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examination, Adults/Adolescents. The facility has developed and trained staff on a Coordinated Response Plan. The facility uses the services at Ballard Health (Mountain State) Forensic Services. Ballard Health maintains a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner at all times. Forensic examinations would be conducted at an outside facility. During interviews medical and mental health staff confirmed that all forensic examinations would be conducted at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) or St. Mary’s Hospital. The facility also has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Action Alliance to provide advocacy and support services of victims of sexual abuse. Action Alliance provides a person to accompany the victim during the forensic examination.

Based on interviews with Rape Action Alliance, all staff members providing services have educational and training qualifications in order to provide the services as indicated in the MOU. Compliance was determined through review of policies, trained curriculum, and interviews with medical staff and SANE and interview with director of Action Alliance.

A review of training records confirmed that the Special Investigative Unit staff and SFWC investigators have received appropriate investigator training on the investigation of sexual abuse and harassment in a confinement settings. Interviews with staff, local hospital nurse, local rape crisis center advocate and an examination of documentation confirmed compliance with this standard. Correctional and medical staff members were interviewed concerning this standard and all were knowledgeable of the procedures required to secure and obtain usable physical evidence when sexual abuse is alleged. Staff members were also aware of the staff responsible for conducting PREA investigations.
The facility provided a memo documenting there have been no forensic examinations at the facility. Compliance was determined through review of policy, documentation of training records, MOU with Forensic SANE and advocacy program and interviews with SFWC staff.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Investigation Packet
Memo re: Referrals of Allegations Sexual Abuse/Harassment

Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit - SIU), and Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) provide guidance to the agency Policy mandates that facilities will immediately open a preliminary investigation when any allegation may meet the level of a PREA violation. The VADOC office of Special Investigative Unit are law enforcement staff and authorized to conduct criminal investigations. The agency publishes the State Law, on its website, which indicates that criminal offenses will be prosecuted.

To the extent possible, SIU staff member will continuously update the Warden of investigative process. Upon finalizing the investigation the SIU will forward the report to the PREA coordinator for review and dissemination of the final report. When the investigations is determined to be criminal SIUs will notify local prosecutors and district attorney’s office.

Compliance was determined through review of policies, VADOC website and review of an investigation and interview with local Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment trained investigators and SIU staff member, Warden’s designee and VADOC regional PREA analyst.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.31 (b)

• Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee’s facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.31 (c)

• Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 102.6 (Staff Orientation)
Operating Procedure 350.2 (Training and Development)
Annual In-service Training Packet:
PREA Training Curriculum
Pre-Service/In-Service Training
Prison Rape Elimination Act - Training Acknowledgement
PREA Newsletters

Prior to having contact with offenders newly hired employees receive classroom training. Included in this training is PREA Standards in accordance with Operating Procedure 102.6 (Staff Orientation). Contractors and volunteers are provided training relative to their duties and responsibilities by the facility Volunteer Coordinator. All staff are required to receive PREA training annually. A review of documentation and staff interviews confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard. VADOC PREA office provides monthly newsletters to all staff that provides additional training opportunities.

A review of the VADOC training curriculum provided documentation of all areas required in this standard. The training curriculum provided new employees and in service training includes working with male or females offenders. Staff interviewed including non-custodial staff (first responders) were aware of all areas required for this standard.
A sampling of staff annual training files (10) were reviewed and contained documentation supporting compliance with this standard. All staff interviewed indicated that they received the required PREA training initially and annually. General and Department Head staff meetings are also held that may address PREA issues. Officers receive additional PREA training/updates when needed. The facility does not have a Segregation Unit so no additional training is required for these staff. The extensive training provided and staff’s knowledge of PREA requirements confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard. Further, a review of the training curriculum, training sign-in sheets and other related documentation, as well as staff interviews, confirmed staff are required to acknowledge in writing not only that they received PREA training, but that they understood the training.

**Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 027.1 (Volunteer Program)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 350.2 (Training and Development)
Operating Procedure 102.6 (Staff Orientation)

Operating Procedure 102.6 (Staff Orientation)
Con – Vol Training curriculum
Guide Lines for maintaining Boundaries
Volunteer Training Record re: PREA
Pre-Service/In-Service Orientation Training Roster-Volunteer
Prison Rape Elimination Act - Training Acknowledgement - Volunteer
Contract Employee Training Record re: PREA
Pre-Service/In-Service Orientation Training Roster - Contractor
Prison Rape Elimination Act - Training Acknowledgement – Contractor

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) mandates that contractors and volunteers are provided training relative to their duties and responsibilities. A review of the training curriculum, training sign-in sheets and other related documentation, as well as staff interviews, confirmed staff are required to acknowledge in writing not only that they received PREA training, but that they understood it. The facility volunteer coordinator ensures all volunteers receive annual training. The Volunteer coordinator provided files for volunteers that documented the annual training. While the volunteer coordinator is a collateral duty, she has implemented a multi discipline group of volunteers and continues to provide them updates even though the DOC has discontinued volunteers due to the Pandemic. The Volunteer coordinator indicated the facility updated training twice a year which included training for volunteers after background checks are cleared prior to having contact with inmates. A review of documentation and staff interviews including facility volunteer coordinator, confirmed that the facility is compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (b)

Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (c)

Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate’s new facility differ from those of the previous facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (d)

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (e)

Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (f)
In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

- Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
- Operating Procedure 810.2(Transferred Offender Receiving and Orientation)
- Inmate Handbook
- Orientation Sign-in Sheet
- PREA training acknowledgement statement
- Memo re: Braille PREA Data and PREA Video
- PREA Signage - "Example" PREA Posters

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) Training establishes the standard required training. Inmates receive information during the intake process that includes a PREA handout and Offender Handbook, printed in both English and Spanish. There are PREA posters throughout the facility and in each housing unit, and a PREA "Report Line" telephone which may be called to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, is posted on the unit bulletin boards. There is an interpretive language service available for limited English proficient inmates. A review of A&O Checklists verified that inmates received Sexual Assault/Sexual Abuse Prevention & Intervention education and relevant written materials. All inmates are required to acknowledge in writing they have received PREA education. A staff member conducts an additional education program regarding the PREA for all inmates within 30 days of their arrival at the facility. If an inmate is transferred to another facility, policy requires that this training process be repeated at the new institution, as confirmed through interviews with newly arrived inmates. The program includes definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment, prevention strategies and reporting modalities.
There is a translation language line available to LEP inmates. The auditor was provided a random sampling of A&O Checklists/Signature Sheets to verify that inmates received the sexual abuse and sexual harassment (PREA) education and relevant written materials. All inmates are required to acknowledge completion of PREA education. During the interview process, randomly selected inmates indicated they received information about the facility’s rules against sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when they arrived at the facility. They further indicated they were advised about their right not to be sexually abused/sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment and their right not be punished for reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Inmate and staff confirmed compliance that PREA training is provided during the initial intake at the facility and during the orientation phase which occurs within one week of arriving at the facility. Inmates were aware of available services outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse.

**Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.34 (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.34 (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.34 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.34 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.34 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (d)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative.

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Sexual Assault Protocol
Investigation Protocol – Certification
Investigator Training Documentation
Facility Investigators - Investigation Protocol – Certification
Outline or Training NIC
VADOC Investigative training program

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) requires that administrative investigations are conducted by trained staff who are full-time employees at the facility. When investigators from outside the facility conducts investigation VADOC has implemented Sexual Assault Protocol. The auditor reviewed specialized training documentation to include the Investigator Training Instructor Guide. SFWC and Special Investigative Staff have received training from ACA, NIC and VADOC. VADOC staff certifications and an examination of training curriculum confirmed compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.35 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 102.6 (Staff Orientation)
Operating Procedure 350.2 (Training and Development)
Operating Procedure 720.1 (Access to Health Services)
Operating Procedure 720.7 (Emergency Medical Equipment and Care)
Specialized training mental health
Medical NIC Training Certificate
Mental Health NIC Training Certificate

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) mandates specialized training for medical and mental health staff. All medical health and medical staff have received the required specialized training on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, victim identification, interviewing, reporting and clinical interventions. Medical acknowledged, in writing, that they both received and understood the training, as it relates to the PREA. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed awareness of their responsibilities regarding the PREA specialized training medical and mental health staff have attended during the last 12 months. Medical staff interviewed were extremely knowledgeable of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and responses to reporting and identifying sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Interviews with mental health staff indicated they have undergoing the specialized training for mental health staff on how to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, and how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Compliance was determined by review of training curriculum for mental health and medical staff, and interviews with the medical and mental health directors.
SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41 (a)

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener’s perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate’s own perception of vulnerability? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (e)

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (g)

- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate’s detriment by staff or other inmates?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Perception Memo
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)
Operating Procedure 810.2 (Transferred Offender Receiving and Orientation)
Operating Procedure 861.1 (Offender Discipline, Institution)
Intake Screening Form

Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification) establishes policies and procedures governing this standard. All offenders are assessed during the intake screening process for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or being sexually abusive toward other inmates. The screening is conducted by a case manager. The screening normally occurs within twenty-four hours, but no more than seventy-two hours after the inmate's arrival. Any offender who scores as a "High Risk
Sexual Victim” (HRSV) and/or "High Risk Sexual Aggressor” (HRSA) is referred to mental health staff for follow-up. It was evident that staff performs this assessment immediately upon admission. SFWC staff email August Correctional Facility mental health staff on offenders that need mental health follow up services. The Mental Health staff there staff would follow-up within 72 hours, however it is usually the same day or next morning.

Policies and procedures require the use of a screening instrument (reviewed by auditor) to determine proper housing, bed assignment, work assignment, education and other program assignments with the goal of keeping inmates at high risk of being sexually abused/sexually harassed separate from those inmates who are at high risk of being sexually abusive. The Screening instrument includes all areas noted in this standard. The VADOC PREA Coordinator provided guidance on persons conducting the screening instrument to provide their own perception on offender gender orientation by adding the phrase the offender perceive to be gender conforming or gender non-conforming.

Medical staff conducts an initial medical screening including questions of prior sexual abuse. Agency Directives require within the first 30 days of arriving at the facility, the case managers meets with the offender to review any additional information that has been received, overall adjustment to the facility and for job placement. During the offender’s risk level is reassessed. Controls are in place to ensure that information received during the screening is only available to staff on a need-to-know basis. Agency policy prohibits inmates from being disciplined for refusing to answer or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions regarding their mental/physical health, developmental disability, sexual preferences, sexual victimization history and perception of vulnerability. Housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis and inmates are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status.

A review of 10 initial and rescreening instruments revealed that all inmates were screened and rescreened as required by standards.

Interviews and documentation revealed that intake screenings are taking place within 72 hours of arrival at the SFWC. Also, during intake screening, procedures require staff review available documentation (judgment and sentence, commitment orders, criminal records, investigation reports, field and medical files) for any indication that an inmate has a history of sexually aggressive behavior. Housing assignments are made accordingly. The facility uses the agency Screening form and the Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness as the objective screening instruments. The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness include the following:

- Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability;
- The age of the inmate;
- The physical build of the inmate;
- Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated;
- Whether the inmates’ criminal history is exclusively nonviolent;
- Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;
• Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming;
• Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization;
• The inmate’s own perception of vulnerability; and
• Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes

Compliance was determined by review of the screening instrument, review of inmate records with screening and rescreening instrument, review of company inmate data to manage screening instruments. Compliance was further determined by interviews with 2 case managers, PREA compliance manager, inmates, and mental health staff.

**Standard 115.42: Use of screening information**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.42 (a)**

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.42 (b)**

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.42 (c)**

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or
female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (d)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (e)

- Are each transgender or intersex inmate’s own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (f)

- Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (g)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
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☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)
Operating Procedure 810.2 (Transferred Offender Receiving and Orientation)
Operating Procedure 861.1 (Offender Discipline, Institution)
SFWC memo

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) provides that risk screening information is used to determine housing, bed, work, and education and program assignments, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Determinations for these assignments are made on a case-by-case basis. Staff members assigned to conduct intake screening have been provided additional training and resource materials to complete this task. VADOc has no dedicated facilities for transgender or intersex inmates. The facility determines other housing and programming assignments for transgender or intersex inmates on a case-by-case basis, to include whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate are reassessed at least once every six months. Policy states that a transgender or intersex inmate’s own view with respect to his own safety should be given serious consideration when making these assignments. Policy mandates that transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower, dress and use the toilet facilities separately from other inmates. The facility has no transgender inmates assigned during the last 12 months. The interview with the Agency’s PREA Coordinator confirmed that a transgender inmate’s genital status is not the sole criteria for placement in a specific facility. Interviews with staff and inmates, observations of housing assignments and unit activities, as well as an examination of documentation/policy, confirm that the facility is in compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
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115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (d)
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility’s concern for the inmate’s safety? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (e)

- In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)
Operating Procedure 810.2 (Transferred Offender Receiving and Orientation)
Operating Procedure 861.1 (Offender Discipline, Institution)
Alternative Assessment Placement Form
SFWC memo 115.42

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) states inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary status unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separating the victim from the abuser. Offenders may be placed in protective custody for less than 24 hours during an investigation of sexual abuse. SFWC did not have to place any offenders in involuntary segregated housing due to making an assessment and determining that no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers.

Compliance was determined by review of policy and interviews with Warden’s designee,
### REPORTING

**Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
Operating Procedure 801.6 Offender Services
Operating Procedure 866.1 Offender Grievance Procedures
Operating Procedure 803.3 Offender Telephone Service
Action Alliance MOU

Operating procedures 038.3, 801.6, 803.3, 866.1, and 038.1 govern and outline procedures for allowing multiple ways for offenders to report privately to agency officials about sexual abuse or harassment, retaliation issues, and staff neglect or violations of responsibilities that may have contributed to PREA issues. Operating Procedure 038.3 states offenders shall have the opportunity to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other offenders or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents to any employee, and will not be required to report only by informing the employee in any manner available, e.g. verbally, through the offender telephone system Sexual Assault Hotline Number #55, or in writing using an Offender Request or Informal Complaint. An offender who is sexually assaulted are encouraged to immediately notify staff that a sexual assault has occurred. Staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports. Offenders who observe, are involved in, or have any knowledge or suspicion of a sexual abuse or unauthorized relationship shall immediately notify staff.

The agency also provides at least one way for offenders to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive immediately forward offender reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials allowing the offender to remain anonymous upon request. During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with an MOU between the agency and Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Action Alliance. The MOU states the toll-free Family Violence and Sexual Assault
Hotline (statewide hotline) shall be a resource for reporting sexual abuse or assault available to victims who desire an external method of reporting.

Operating Procedure 866.1 states the Offender Grievance Procedure is one of the multiple internal ways for offenders to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other offenders or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Staff shall accept any report of PREA related issues made through the Offender Grievance Procedure and immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Facility Unit Head and facility PREA Compliance Manager. Operating Procedure 866.1 states staff shall accept any report of PREA related issues made through an Informal Complaint and immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Facility Unit Head and facility PREA Compliance Manager. Operating Procedure 866.1 states staff shall accept any report of PREA related issues made through a Regular Grievance and immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Facility Manager. The facility PREA Compliance Manager shall notify the Regional PREA Analyst. Staff interviews indicate staff and offenders can privately report sexual abuse or sexual harassment by sending a private report to the Watch Commander or by calling the PREA Hotline at #55. Verbal reports would be documented by staff, immediately after receiving the report.

Offender interviews indicate offenders were aware they could send in private requests or utilize the PREA Hotline when making a private report Compliance was determined through review of policies, grievance log, interviews with PREA compliance manager, multiple staff members, offenders, and director of the Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Action Alliance. Further compliance was determined by Poster placed in all areas that offenders are housed or have access.

**Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ☐ Yes ☒ No

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any
portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.52 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency’s determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the initial response document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency’s final decision document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.52 (g)

- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 801.6 Offender Services  
Operating Procedure 866.1 (Offender Grievance Procedures)  
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)  
Grievance Packet  
SFWC Updated Handbook  
SFWC Inmate Education  
SFWC memo

Operating Procedure 038.3 mandates that under the Prison Rape Elimination Act, 42 U.S.C. §15606, et seq. Administrative remedies regarding allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment may be filed at any time.

All allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when received by staff, will immediately be referred for investigation. Inmate are authorized to utilize the Administrative Remedy system to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Inmates are not required to use an informal grievance process and procedures also allow an inmate to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse/sexual harassment without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Additionally, policy also prohibits the investigation of the allegation by either staff alleged to be involved in the incident or any staff who may be under their supervision. Policy states that there is no time frame for filing a grievance relating to sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Policy also addresses the filing of emergency administrative remedy requests. If an inmate files the emergency grievance with the institution and believes he is under a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, an expedited response. There is no prohibition that limits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys and outside victim advocates in assisting inmates in filing requests for grievances relating to allegations of sexual abuse or filing such requests on behalf of inmates. There were no grievances filed involving PREA related issues during the past 12 months. There were no grievances alleging sexual abuse that involved an extension due to the final decision not being reached within 90 days. Additionally, there were no grievances alleging sexual abuse filed by inmates in which the inmate declined third-party assistance. Inmates are held accountable for manipulative behavior and false allegations. Disciplinary action would generally be taken if a grievance was filed in bad faith. Compliance was determined by review of policy, and grievance logs, as well as an interview with the PREA compliance manager and inmates.

**Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers,
including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3
A & 0 Booklet re: PREA Support Services
Operating Procedure 038.3, the "Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention" brochure in English and Spanish, as well as the Reception Handbook are the governing guidance on this standard. The above policies and materials require outside victim advocacy services for emotional support to be provided to affected offenders. Locally, SFWC utilizes the Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Action Alliance (Action Alliance) to provide capability for hotline reporting for sexual assaults, advocacy services for offenders, and training for staff and volunteers in accordance with state and federal laws. The facility provides mailing addresses and telephone numbers. The facility enables reasonable communication between offenders and advocacy organizations and agencies in as confidential manner as possible and in accordance with the stipulations in the agreement with Action Alliance. Offenders are informed as part of their orientation process that all telephone calls are subject to monitoring. The contract for services was reviewed and PREA deliverables were stipulated in the contract. There are also trained volunteer advocates on call based on a published schedule for 2018. All victim's services are provided at no cost to the offender. The Director of the Advocacy Group which provides support services to sexual assault offender victims was contacted. SFWC has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Action Alliance. The Director indicated that they have received calls from offenders and that staff response has always been prompt and appropriate. Compliance was determined through review of MOU, interviews with Action Alliance director, PREA compliance manager and first responders.

### Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.54 (a)**

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
PREA Signage -
Inmate Handbook
VADOC Website Indicating 3rd Party Reporting
Memo for the file

VADOC Operating Procedure 038.3 provides guidance on this standard. The policy requires the agency/facility to establish a method to receive third party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and shall distribute publicly, information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an offender. The VADOC public website (www.vadoc.virginia.gov) contains information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Additionally, there are "Third Party Reporting Forms" available to the public in English and Spanish. These reporting forms were observed in the visitation waiting room. Offenders who were interviewed were aware of this provision. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (including those from third-party and anonymous sources) are reported directly to designated facility investigators. Compliance was determined through observation of Posters, review of web site, interview with offenders and staff. There were no third party reporting during the last 12 months.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (b)

Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (c)

Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (d)

If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable person’s statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (e)

Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility’s designated investigators? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**
Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Operating Procedure 038.1 (Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 720.2 (Medical Screenings, Classification, and Levels of Care)
Operating Procedure 720.7 (Emergency Medical Equipment and Care)
Operating Procedure 801.6 Offender Services

Operating Procedure 038.1 requires all agency and facility staff, including contractors and volunteers to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse/sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency. Staff members are also required to report retaliation against offenders or staff who reports these incidents. Staff members are required to report on neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to incidents of retaliation. This policy also addresses the prohibition of revealing information to a person who is not a part of investigation, treatment, or management of the particular incident.

Operating Procedure 720.2 requires all medical and mental health professionals at the initiation of services to disclose their duty to report and the limits of confidentiality. Operational Procedure 030.4 requires all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment to be reported to the facility designated investigator for initial investigation and notification to the PREA Analyst. Procedure 030.4 also establishes that the agency’s Office of Special Investigations provide criminal investigations. Agency policy requires the information concerning the identity of the alleged victim and the specific facts of the case are limited to staff who have a need to know.

During the audit, documentation was reviewed to verify that staff allows anonymous reporting of PREA allegations. The tracking of PREA sexual abuse and harassment allegations reveals staff, offender, and external reporting mechanisms.

Once allegations are received, the allegations are investigated in compliance with PREA standards, and retaliation tracking and notifications are performed. SFWC does not house offenders under the age of 18.

Staff interviews indicate staff are aware the agency requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, as well as retaliation against offenders or staff who reported such an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Medical and mental health staff indicate they disclose the limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report, at the initiation of services to an offender. Medical and mental health staff also acknowledged being required to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a designated supervisor or official immediately upon learning it.

Compliance was determined by review of multiple policies and interviews with PREA compliance manager, medical, mental health practitioners and all staff interviewed during the onsite audit.
Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.1 (Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents) Operating Procedure 830.6 (Offender Keep Separate Management)
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)

VADOC Operating procedures require that when staff learns an offender is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the offender. Staff interviewed stated their duties and responsibilities if they were aware of an offender being subject to substantial risk. Staff stated they would act immediately to protect the offender; including separating the offenders and alerting appropriate staff of the situation. Additionally, staff provided steps they would take if they thought an offender had been sexually abused such as separate offenders, secure the scene, protect possible evidence, not allow offenders to destroy possible evidence and contact their supervisor and medical staff.

The policies also require immediate referral and consult with the head of the facility regarding action to be taken when an offender is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or further victimization and staff coordinate between qualified mental health professionals and facility heads in determining the appropriate protective actions to take to address the
imminent risk of victimization.

In the past 12 months there were no instance in which non-correctional officers were the first persons made aware of an offender being sexual abuse or harassed. Interviews with the inmate and staff confirmed that facility staff protect the inmate victim and separate victim from the alleged predator.

**Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.63 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**
Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Memo re: Sexual Abuse Allegations from Prior Facility

VADOC policies require the facility head to ensure an investigation is initiated when an offender reports sexual abuse that occurred at another facility. Based on memo for the record; during June 2019 - August of 2020, State Farm Work Center did not receive any allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confided at another facility. Upon receiving any such allegations, the Warden shall notify the head of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred as soon as possible but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The Warden shall ensure that an investigation of such allegation is conducted in accordance with PREA Standards.

Compliance with this standard was verified by reviewing Policy, and interviews with investigators and PREA compliance manager and intake screening case manager.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.64 (b)
• If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 075.1 (Emergency Operations Plan)
SFWC First Responder Duties

Policy and several documents (such as the PREA card provided to all staff-interviewed on how to respond to allegations of sexual assaults) provide initial guidance to employees regarding the expected coordinated actions to take place in response to an incident of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. First non-security staff, volunteers, contractors or visitors should maintain control of the offender and immediately notify closest correctional or administrative staff. First Responder correctional staff should attempt to make notifications to shift supervisor or appropriate staff with as much confidentiality as possible. Following reporting the requirements of the first security staff member to respond to the report of sexual abuse are outlined in State Farm Work Center Assault Response Checklist and in State Farm Work Center Management Plan.

Those requirements are:

1. Separate the alleged victim and abuser;
2. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence;
3. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical
evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and
4. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical

During the last 12 months there have been one (1) allegations of sexual abuse that required the offender to be separated from the abuser. This one (1) allegations involved staff who was separated from a victim. The staff was placed on administrative leave pending the investigation. An examination of policy/documentation, interview with all staff interviewed including five (5) support staff confirms compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.65: Coordinated response**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.65 (a)  
- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☒ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)  
☐ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)  
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 075.1 (Emergency Operations Plan)  
VADOC Sexual Abuse Response Check List  
State Farm Work Center PREA Management Plan  
SFWC memo

Operating Procedure 038.3 address the mandates of this standard. The policy specifies the guidelines and procedures that prevent sexual abuse/sexual assault and provide for prompt and effective intervention, in the event a case of abuse or assault occurs. Correctional staff carry a card that provides guideline for first responder or coordinated responses to sexual
abuse. The policy also includes procedures for the Reporting, Protecting, Physical care, Psychological Crisis intervention, Crime Scene Preservation, and After Action Review. Five random non-correctional staff were interviewed regarding first responder reporting. All indicated they would notify the shift supervisor or correctional officer in their vicinity and would remain with the alleging offender until correctional staff arrived. Medical staff will attempt to make a victim advocate available through the use of a local rape crisis center, with which State Farm Work Center and Virginia Department of Corrections has established an agreement.

Compliance was confirmed through the interviews with the Rape Crisis Center and staff at Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center. Also compliance was determined by review of MOU, and interviews SFWC nurse and mental health staff.

**Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.66 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**
Memo provided by VADOC on prohibition for bargaining Units.

In accordance with Virginia Code collective bargaining is prohibited.

**Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation**

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

**115.67 (a)**

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**115.67 (b)**

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**115.67 (c)**

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (d)

In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (e)

If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 075.1 (Emergency Operations Plan)
Operating Procedure 135.2 (Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders)

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) mandates that retaliation by staff or inmates against any staff or inmate for reporting an alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment case is strictly prohibited. The PREA Compliance Manager and Warden’s designee monitor all reported cases of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for at least 90 days following any such report to ensure retaliation does not occur. In the case of inmates, this monitoring will include periodic status checks by Retaliation Monitors. The facility has several protection and reporting measures for inmates. Policy outlines the protection measures available and requires the prompt re-mediation of any type of retaliation, any use of involuntary segregated housing for the inmate who alleged suffering sexual abuse shall only be used after an assessment determines there is a form for documenting retaliation. There have been one (1) instances of retaliation monitoring in the last 12 months. The monitoring was completed in a timely basis and continued until victim was transferred to a program that was not from retaliation but release preparation. Compliance was determined by review of policy, retaliation monitoring form, and interviews with PREA coordinator and Warden’s designee.

**Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does.*
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 425.4 (Management of Bed and Cell Assignments)
Operating Procedure 830.5 (Transfers, Facility Reassignments)
Alternative Assessment

VADOC Operating Procedures 425.4 and 830.5 governs this standard. The standard states, for an offender identified as High Risk Sexual Violence (HRSV) or an alleged victim of sexual abuse who has been assigned to Special Housing without their consent, Mental Health staff shall advise Unit Manager whether the offender can be released to General Population or whether they must be assigned to Segregation and/or transferred to the DOC Protective Custody Unit. Involuntary assignment to Segregation shall only be made until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. The facility must clearly document the basis for the institution’s concern for the offender’s safety and the reason why no alternative means can be arranged. This assignment shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Voluntary or involuntary restrictive housing requires weekly reviews by the case manager. There were no instances where protective custody or restrictive housing was used at this facility.

Compliance was confirmed by review of policy, and interview with case manager supervisor and PREA compliance manager Regional PREA Analyst.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.71 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as inmate or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (i)
Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.71 (j)

Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.71 (k)

Audit is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)

When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Correspondence re: Training Requirements
SIU Specialized Training
Virginia Investigation Specialized Training
SFWC memo
Investigation Matrix
Operating Procedure 038.1 (Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents) and Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit) provides guidance for investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. An administrative and/or criminal investigation will be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The initial investigation will begin immediately by correctional staff, (PCM or investigator), to ensure preservation of physical and/or circumstantial evidence. In accordance with Operational Policy 030.4 SIU and Regional PREA Analyst will be notified immediately. SIU will review the complaint and may assume control of the investigation when appropriate. Administrative Investigations will include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse and will be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessment, and investigative facts and findings.

Criminal investigations will be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal will be referred for prosecution.

Investigative staff will impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. All written reports of administrative and criminal investigations will be maintained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by VADOC, plus an additional five years. The departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of VADOC does not provide basis for terminating an investigation.

To the extent possible, the Warden’s designee will request that outside investigative authorities conduct the investigation in accordance with PREA investigation standards.

SIU staff and facility investigator have received special training in sexual abuse investigations. These investigations will be conducted promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports. The facility staff will cooperate fully with all outside investigative authorities and when required will: Gather and preserve physical and DNA evidence consistent with evidence gathering/processing procedures outlined in Intelligence Procedures, collect available electronic monitoring, interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses, review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.

When the quality of evidence appears to support a criminal prosecution, compelled interviews will be conducted only after consulting with prosecuting attorneys to determine whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution.

The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness will be assessed on an individual basis and will not be determined by the person's status as inmate or staff. Inmates who allege sexual abuse will not be submitted to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with an investigation.

SFWC maintains data collection, reviews, and storage in accordance with PREA standards.
SFWC and SIU investigators provided documentation of completions of Sexual Abuse and Harassment investigator’s training. Discussion with the investigator validated training included all aspects of the standards for sexual abuse and harassment training. There was one investigation of sexual abuse in the last 12 months. There was no referrals for criminal investigations.

The review of policy, investigative reports, investigators credentials and interview with two SFWC and one SIU investigators and Warden’s designee confirmed compliance with policy.

**Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Facility Investigative Report
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
SFWC Evidentiary Standard

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) mandates in accordance with PREA standards, during the course of investigations, the facility shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence when determining whether allegations of
sexual abuse or harassment are substantiated. Investigator training programs provide in-depth clarification of this standard. When interviewed, the investigators were aware of the evidence standard. Compliance was determined by review of policy, investigative report, investigator training curriculum, interview with investigators and PCM.

**Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate’s allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate’s allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate’s unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (d)
Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
☒ Yes ☐ No

Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (e)

Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?
☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 030.4 (Special Investigative Unit)
Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Memo re: Reporting to inmates
Inmate Notification Memo
Inmate Notification Log (Tracking Form)
Follow-Up Interview

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) reporting to inmates mandates
following an investigation into a sexual abuse allegation, the inmate shall be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. If the investigation was conducted by an outside investigative authority, the Warden’s designee will request relevant information from such authority in order to inform the inmate. When substantiated, this notification will be documented in the inmate's central file. When unsubstantiated or unfounded, this notification will be documented on the Sexual Abuse/Assault or Harassment Follow up Interview Form. Following an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse by another inmate, the inmate will be notified by facility staff as to the charges or conviction of the assailant related to the sexual abuse. This notification will be documented in the central file. Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, staff will inform the inmate (unless the allegation is determined to be unfounded) whenever the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate’s unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility, staff learn that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. This notification will be documented in the inmate’s central file. All facility obligations to report to the victim will terminate when the victim is released from custody.

During this auditing period, there was one (1) administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse that required notification in accordance with this standard. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, staff interviews and copy of inmate notification.

### DISCIPLINE

#### Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.76 (a)
- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.76 (b)
- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.76 (c)
- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.76 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to:
  Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to:
  Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 135.1 (Standards of Conduct)
Operating Procedure 135.2 (Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders)
Memo for the Record

All staff, contractors, and volunteers are subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating SFWC PREA sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. Disciplinary sanctions for violations relating to sexual abuse and sexual harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse) will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. In the case of any other violations relating to sexual abuse and sexual harassment by a contractor or volunteer, appropriate remedial measures and consideration will be taken to determine whether or not to prohibit further contact with inmates.

Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff, contractors, and volunteers who have engaged in sexual abuse. All terminations for violations of SFWC sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, will be reported to law enforcement agencies and any relevant licensing
bodies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal. There have been one (1) substantiated cases of staff engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment in the last twelve months. The staff resigned which was considered a presumptive termination. The investigation was determined to be founded. It did not rise to the level of criminal action. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and staff interviews including correctional staff, human resources staff, Warden’s designee and investigator.

**Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**
Memo for the Record
Operating Procedure 027.1 (Volunteer Program)
Operating Procedure 135.2 (Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders)
Memo re: Referrals of Allegations Sexual Abuse/Harassment to Law Enforcement or Licensing Bodies

VADOC Operating Procedures 135.2 and 027.1 govern the mandates of this standard. During the audit period, SFWC has had no occurrences of contractor or volunteer sexual misconduct with offenders. All governing policies guide staff in expectations for corrective action for contractors and volunteers. In the case of criminal activity, law enforcement and licensing bodies will be notified for any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse and would be prohibited from contact with offenders.

Compliance of this standard was confirmed through review of the policy, training records of volunteers and contractors, review of volunteer files containing acknowledgement statements, and interviews with volunteer. Contractor staff compliance was determined by review of active contacts with local vendors, interview with supervisor of local contacting staff, contracting staff members, training records for contractors and PREA compliance manager.

**Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)
- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (b)
- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (c)
- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (d)
- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require
the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (g)

- If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 861.1 (Offender Discipline, Institution)
Operating Procedure 820.3 (Re-entry Planning)
Operating Procedure 830.3 (Re-entry Planning)
SFWC memo
The Offender Handbook address the mandates of this standard. Information on inmate disciplinary sanctions is provided as part of the orientation process upon entry into the facility. Inmates interviewed indicated a good understanding of their freedom to make allegations without consequences for making good faith allegations. The Inmate Discipline Program defines sexual assault of any person, involving non-consensual touching by force or threat of force, as the greatest severity level prohibited act. The program identifies inmates engaging in sexual acts and making sexual proposals or threats to another as a high severity level prohibited act. Consensual sex or sexual harassment of any nature is prohibited and will result in discipline. Consensual sex between inmates does not constitute sexual abuse. Sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, along with the inmate’s disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories.

Inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to the formal disciplinary process defined in the Inmate Discipline Program. The disciplinary process considers whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to the inmate’s behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. Prior to disciplinary hearing mental health staff access the above information. The facility considers the offer of therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse. The institution does not discipline inmates who make an allegation in good faith, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. There were no disciplinary actions regarding PREA violations. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and the inmate discipline process, as well as staff and inmate interviews.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
115.81 (c)  
- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.81 (d)  
- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.81 (e)  
- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
Operating Procedure 425.4 (Management of Bed and Cell Assignments)
Operating Procedure 701.1 (Health Services Administration)
Operating Procedure 701.3 (Health Records)
Operating Procedure 720.1 (Access to Health Services)
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)

SFWC Assessment

VADOC Operational Procedures 425.4, 701.3, and 730.2, govern this standard. Operating Procedure 425.4 states any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law.

Operating Procedure 701.3 states medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the offender is under the age of 18.

Operating Procedure 730.2 states in institutions, within 14 days, the mental health professional will notify offenders identified as HRSA or HRSV of the availability of a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner and relevant available treatment and programming. The evaluation and treatment of a victim of prior sexual abuse/harassment or sexual misconduct includes follow-up services, a treatment plan, and referral for continued care following transfer to/placement in another facility. Referrals may also be provided when the offender is released from custody. When appropriate, staff refers the offender to appropriate community services such as a crisis center, support groups, mental health treatment, victim advocate services, and area law enforcement.

VADOC has developed computerized screening, rescreening and an informed consent which requires offender signing that they are aware of informed consent, unless the offender is under the age of 18.

Interviews with Mental Health and Medical administrator provided a seamless system for the treatment of offenders with history of victimization. Both medical and mental health staff indicated information is shared from the time offenders enter the VADOC custody, and during screening upon arrival at SFWC, and after any referral from staff. The Mental Health staff provide follow-up meeting and develop a treatment plan as needed. Compliance was confirmed by review of policy, interviews with medical and mental health staff and review of a mental health assessment from resident that had history of being victimized.

**Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by
medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 701.1 (Health Services Administration)
Operating Procedure 701.3 (Health Records)
Operating Procedure 720.1 (Access to Health Services)
Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and
Classification) Operating Procedure 720.7 (Emergency Medical Equipment and Care)
Operating Procedure 720.4 (Co-payment for Healthcare Services)
Operating Procedure 075.1 (Emergency Operations Plan)

VADOC requires offender victims of sexual abuse to receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioner’s judgment. SFWC operate medical unit 8 hours a day. During other time the offender would be transported to Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center for emergency treatment.

The security staff first responders will immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners. Where medically appropriate the facility will ensure victims are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care. All treatment services will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

SFWC uses the Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center that provides emergency care of offenders following a sexual assault. The Action Alliance provides staff to report to the hospital as required to provide support services.

Inmate victims of sexual abuse, while incarcerated, are offered information about and timely access to information on sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. There were no allegations of sexual abuse that required referral for emergency care or forensic examination in the last year.

Compliance was confirmed by review of Policy, interviews with medical and mental health staff, and telephone conversations with the representative from the Action Alliance, SANE emergency staff and interviews with medical director and first responders.

**Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (b)

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.83 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (d)

- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.83 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 730.2 (Mental Health Services: Screening, Assessment and Classification)
Operating Procedure 720.7 (Emergency Medical Equipment and Care)
Operating Procedure 720.4 (Co-payment for Healthcare Services)
Memo for the Record

VADOC direct that offender victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioner’s judgment. Policy requires treatment services to be consistent with the community level of care and provided without financial costs regardless of whether the victim names the aggressor or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual violence is made, first responders will take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the shift supervisor. Offenders are offered mental health and medical services, forensic and sexual assault exams completed by a qualified professional.

VADOC policy states that staff attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known offender on offender aggressors within 60 days of learning of such sexual violence history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. Offenders who are victims of alleged sexual assault are offered timely information and access to emergency contraception if applicable, and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care where medically appropriate. The policy states the evaluation and treatment of victims of sexual violence shall include as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and possibly referrals for continued care following transfer or release from custody. There were no cases of a sexual abuse victim requiring a mental health follow up services.

Compliance to the Standard was verified through review of policy, interviews with nurse, Psychologist, first responders, and shift supervisors.
DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d) (1) - (d) (5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
After Action Review Report (Blank)

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) address the mandates of this standard. The policy requires the following:

(a) Within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded, a review team, designated by the Chief Administrative Officer, shall review the case and:

(b) Determine what may have been the motivation for the incident or allegation such as, but not be limited to, race, ethnicity, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, transgenderism, intersex identification, gang affiliation, etc.

(c) Determine if there is a need for changes to policy or procedure; or if factors such as physical barriers or staffing may have enabled the abuse.

(d) Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed to supplement staff supervision.

(e) The review team shall prepare and submit to the Chief Administrative Officer and facility PREA Compliance Manager a written report of their findings and any recommendations for improvement.

(f) Documentation for any recommendation not implemented shall be maintained.

During the last 12 months there have been one allegation of sexual abuse. This allegation was founded. An agency Incident Review forms and interviews with the Warden’s designee, PREA coordinator, and regional PREA Analyst and SFWC PREA compliance manager confirmed compliance with this standard.
Standard 115.87: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.87 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.87 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.87 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.87 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.87 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.87 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination)
Annual Report 2014-2019
BJS Surveys 2014-2018

VADOC Operating Procedure 038.3 governs the mandate of this standard. This policy provides guidelines for compliance with PREA standards on data collection within the VADOC. The VADOC is responsible for tracking incident based data, which is aggregated at least annually. The incident based data includes the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The VADOC maintains and collects data as needed from all available incident based documents including reports, investigation files, and incident reviews. Upon request, The VADOC provides data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice. VADOC incident based data has been aggregated at lease annually. Annual reports were reviewed by the auditor for calendar years 2014 through 2019 and DJS Survey years 2014 through 2018. The PREA coordinator’s office maintains files that provide pertinent information such as:

- The victim(s) and perpetrator(s) of sexually abusive behavior.
- A factual description of the events.
- Formal and informal action(s) taken.
- All collateral reports, supporting memoranda, and videotapes.
- Medical forms (e.g., injury assessments).
- Any other evidentiary materials pertaining to the allegation.

The incident-based data collected shall include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The Information, Policy, and Public Affairs Division collects and reports. Upon request, the agency shall provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30 and shall submit unique information and reports as requested by the BOP and participate in meetings and training as requested by the BOP.

A review of documentation and staff interviews confirmed compliance to this standard. The data collected includes the information necessary to answer all questions from the most recent
version of the Survey of Sexual Violence, conducted by the Department of Justice. The Agency aggregates and reviews all data annually.

Compliance was determined by review of Annual Report, review of Survey of Sexual Violence and interviews with regional PREA analyst.

### Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.88 (a)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.88 (b)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.88 (c)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.88 (d)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:**

- Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)
- VADOC Annual PREA Report
- VSCU monthly Reports

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) Data Review for Corrective Action address the mandates of this standard. The agency and facility review and assess all sexual abuse/sexual harassment data at least annually to improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, to identify any trends, issues or problematic areas and to take corrective action if needed. The PREA Compliance Manager forwards data to the agency PREA Coordinator. A review of SFWC report for 2019 included all allegations of sexual harassment and sexual abuse and corrective action plans based on these incidents. Also included in the annual report for 2019 was the agency upgrades and modifications of SFWC and SFWC working areas for conversion to a female facility. Compliance was determined by review of the annual report and interviews with PREA coordinator.

**Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.89 (a)**

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**115.89 (b)**

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**115.89 (c)**

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
115.89 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

POLICY AND DOCUMENT REVIEWED:

Operating Procedure 038.3 (Prison Rape Elimination Act)

VADOC Operating Procedure 038.3 governs the mandate of this standard. Data is retained in a secure filing system. VADOC makes all aggregated sexual abuse data readily available to the public at least annually on the website. Prior to making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, VADOC removes all personal identifiers. Sexual abuse data is retained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and documentation and staff interviews.

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (b)
- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? *(Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)* ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? *(N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)* ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? *(N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)* ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
This is the third PREA audit of this facility. The last PREA audit was in November 2017. At the
time of the last audit the facility was one of two facilities audited at the same time due to being
under the direct supervision and support staff of the same administration. At the time of the
audit staff were assigned to differing facilities based on needs. The facilities were divided in
2019. The present audited facility was renamed James River Work Center to State Farm Work
Center. The auditor was allowed access to all areas of the facility and had access to all
required supporting documentation. The auditor was able to conduct private interviews with
both inmates and staff. All Virginia facilities have received at least one PREA audit since
August 20, 2012. At least one-third of all Virginia facilities were audited during the one-year
period after August 20, 2012. The auditor was provided supporting documentation before and
during the audit. Notifications of the audit (posted throughout the facility) allowed inmates to
send confidential letters to the auditor prior to the audit. No pieces of correspondences from an
inmates or staff were received by the auditor.

**Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly
  available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past
  three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28
  C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been
  no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies
  that has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the
compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s
conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by
information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The institution has fully implemented all policies, practices and procedures outlined in the
PREA standards. The auditor reviewed applicable standards and, through the review of
supporting documentation, interviews with staff and inmates and the observation of physical
evidence, concluded that this facility fully meets and substantially complies in all material ways with the PREA standards for the relevant review period. The agency and facility’s leadership are fully committed to eliminating sexual abuse/sexual harassment, as evidenced in the realistic staffing analysis and the recommendations for enhanced supervision techniques. Substantiated allegations of abuse are processed in accordance with the standards, to include incident reviews, disciplinary actions, if required, and outcome notifications.

PREA training for staff and inmates is documented and all stakeholders receive the appropriate level of training and are knowledgeable of the intent of the PREA and the tools available to ensure prevention, detection, reporting and response to sexual abuse incidents. Sexual abuse and victimization propensity screening is well established and tracked in an organized fashion. Referrals for mental health counseling are integrated in the intake and allegations of sexual abuse processes. Medical, mental health, and sexual abuse intervention and support networks for the inmates are established in the community. The public has access to reporting mechanisms and PREA trends data via the website. State Farm Work Center currently meets all applicable PREA standards.

**AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

**Auditor Instructions:**

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

---

1 See additional instructions here: [https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110](https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110).
